



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/818,923	03/27/2001	Mark S. Hoffman	9547	4274	
26884 75	90 10/06/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PAUL W. MARTIN LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-5E 1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.			VU, KIEU D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			2173	2_	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		PLL				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/818,923	HOFFMAN, MARK S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	Kieu D Vu	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 h	<u>farch 2001</u> .	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Distanciano Com	(PTO 442) Pan N-/-)				
Notice of Pro-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2173

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The informal drawings filed in this application are acceptable for examination purposes. When the application is allowed, applicant will be required to submit new formal drawings.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 7 and 15 contain the trademark/trade name "EZ Access terminal". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a type of terminal and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Art Unit: 2173

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deutsch et al ("Deutsch", USP 6615194) and Blumstein et al ("Blumstein", USP 5589855)

Regarding claims 1, 10, and 16, Deutsch teaches a signature capture terminal 205 comprising a signature capture area operative to graphically receive a signature of a user (col 3, lines 12-16). Deutsch does not teach the producing audio feedback in response to receipt of user input. However, such feature is known in the art as taught by Blumstein. Blumstein teaches the providing a terminal for visually impaired individuals which comprises the audio feedback in response to receipt of user input (col 2, lines 22-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Deutsch and Blumstein before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the terminal taught by Deutsch to include audio feedback taught by Blumstein with the motivation being to enable the terminal to inform the user whether he or she is successful in entering input.

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Blumstein teaches that the audio signal varies in correlation to the input from users (line 64 of col 3 to line 2 of col 4).

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claims 3, 12, and 18, Blumstein teaches that a characteristic of audio signal is pitch (col 5, lines 14-18)

Regarding claim 4, Blumstein teaches the audio signal has second characteristic (line 64 of col 3 to line 2 of col 4).

Regarding claims 5, 13, and 17, Blumstein teaches that the audio feedback is provided as secure audio (col 2, lines 21-23)

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Blumstein teaches a device operative to receive a PIN (col 5, lines 19-21) and audio feedback corresponding to input of the PIN (line 66 of col 5 to line 2 of col 6).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Blumstein teaches the terminal can be easily accessed by visually impaired users (abstract).

Regarding claim 8, Blumstein teaches a touch screen display screen for PIN entry (Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 9, Blumstein teaches audio feedback upon receiving PIN entry (line 66 of col 5 to line 2 of col 6).

- 6. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach about system for assisting people with disability in making transaction which relates to the claimed invention.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu whose telephone number is (703-605-1232). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM.

Art Unit: 2173

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (703- 308-3116).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7238

(After Final Communication)

or

(703)-746-7239

(Official Communications)

(703)-746-7240

(For Status Inquiries, draft communication)

and / or:

(703)-746-5639

(use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for

"INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-3900).

Kieu D. Vu

09/25/03

JOHN CABECA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210.